arXiv:alg-geom/9404009v1 21 Apr 1994

MODULI OF ABELIAN SURFACES WITH A $(1, p^2)$ POLARISATION

V.A. Gritsenko & G.K. Sankaran

The moduli space of abelian surfaces with a polarisation of type $(1, p^2)$ for p a prime was studied by O'Grady in [O'G], where it is shown that a compactification of this moduli space is of general type if $p \geq 17$. We shall show that in fact this is true if $p \geq 11$. Our methods overlap with those of [O'G], but are in some important ways different. We borrow notation freely from that paper when discussing the geometry of the moduli space.

1. Methods

Let $\mathcal{A}_2(p)$ denote the moduli space of abelian surfaces over $\mathbf{C}$ with a polarisation of type $(1, p^2)$. We denote by $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ the toroidal compactification of $\mathcal{A}_2(p)$ and by $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ a partial desingularisation of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ having only canonical singularities.

To show that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ is of general type (that is, roughly, that the pluricanonical bundles have many sections), one chooses an $\mathcal{E} \in \operatorname{Pic} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ such that $n\mathcal{E}$ (which is a bundle if n is sufficiently divisible) is not too far from the pluricanonical bundle $nK_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}$, and such that the space of sections $H^0(n\mathcal{E})$ can be calculated or at least estimated by some method. Then by knowing about the geometry of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ one can estimate the plurigenera, because the difference between $nK_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is known. In [O'G] the bundle used to play the rôle of $n\mathcal{E}$ arises from pulling back powers of the Hodge bundle on the moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$ of semi-stable genus 2 curves via the map $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$ constructed there. Here, by contrast, we consider $\mathcal{A}_2(p)$ as a Siegel modular variety, i.e., as a quotient of the Siegel upper half-space by the paramodular group Γ_{p^2} (an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbf{Q})$), and obtain a suitable bundle $n\mathcal{E}$ by considering cusp forms of weight $3n$ for Γ_{p^2}. A similar procedure is adopted in [HS] for a different Siegel modular variety. But here we do not use all cusp forms of weight $3n$. Instead, we use the cusp form f_2 of weight 2 for Γ_{p^2}, constructed by the first author in [G], and we consider modular forms of weight $3n$ of the form $f_n f_2^n$, where f_n is a modular form of weight n. The bundle that results has fewer sections than the one arising from all cusp forms but is much closer to $nK_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}$ and this turns out to give a better bound on the plurigenera for small p. Hulek and

the first author have applied this idea to the situation of [HS] and the improvement that results is described in [GH].

2. Modular forms

If t is a positive integer, the paramodular group is defined to be the arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(4,\mathbf{Q})$

$$\Gamma_t = \left\{ \gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(4,\mathbf{Q}) \mid \gamma \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} & t\mathbf{Z} \\ t\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} & t\mathbf{Z} & t\mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} & t\mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{Z} & \frac{1}{t}\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

It acts on the Siegel upper half-plane

$$\mathbf{H}_2 = \left\{ Z = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ \tau_2 & \tau_3 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2\times 2}(\mathbf{C}) \mid Z = {}^T Z, \mathrm{Im}\, Z > 0 \right\}$$

by fractional linear transformations, i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} : Z \longmapsto (AZ+B)(CZ+D)^{-1}.$$

The quotient $\Gamma_t \backslash \mathbf{H}_2$ is a coarse moduli space for abelian surfaces over $\mathbf{C}$ with a polarisation of type $(1,t)$. If p is a prime and $t = p^2$ we denote $\Gamma_t \backslash \mathbf{H}_2$ by $\mathcal{A}_2(p)$, and let $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ be the toroidal compactification and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ the canonical partial resolution described in [O'G]. If we can show that $h^0(nK_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}) \sim n^3$ we shall have shown that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ is of general type.

Proposition 2.1. [G] *If $p \geq 11$ is a prime then there exists a nontrivial cusp form f_2 of weight 2 for Γ_{p^2}.* ■

It is not known whether such a cusp form exists for Γ_{p^2} if $p \leq 7$.

Proposition 2.2. *The space $\mathcal{M}_n^*(\Gamma_{p^2})$ of cusp forms of weight n for Γ_{p^2} satisfies*

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_n^*(\Gamma_{p^2}) = \frac{p^2(p^2+1)}{8640} n^3 + \mathrm{O}(n^2)$$

for any prime p.

Proof: Γ_{p^2} is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(4,\mathbf{Z})$, namely

$$\Gamma'_{p^2} = \left\{ \gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(4,\mathbf{Z}) \mid \gamma \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z} & p\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} & p\mathbf{Z} \\ p\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} & p\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{Z} & p\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} & p\mathbf{Z} \\ p\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} & p\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

so we may as well work with Γ'_{p^2}. Exactly as in [HS] (cf. also [T]) we obtain, for l large

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_n^*(\Gamma(l)) \sim \frac{n^3}{8640}[\Gamma(1) : \Gamma(l)]$$

and if $p|l$ then $\Gamma(l) \subseteq \Gamma'_{p^2}$ and the cusp forms for Γ'_{p^2} are the $\Gamma'_{p^2}/\Gamma(l)$-invariant cusp forms for $\Gamma(l)$. Using the Atiyah-Bott fixed point theorem, as in [T], we obtain

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_n^*(\Gamma'_{p^2}) \sim \frac{2}{[\Gamma'_{p^2} : \Gamma(l)]} \dim \mathcal{M}_n^*(\Gamma(l))$$

since there is a contribution from $\gamma = -I$ as well as from $\gamma = I$. But

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{[\Gamma'_{p^2} : \Gamma(l)]} \dim \mathcal{M}_n^*(\Gamma(l)) &\sim \frac{2}{[\Gamma'_{p^2} : \Gamma(l)]} \cdot \frac{n^3}{8640}[\Gamma(1) : \Gamma(l)] \\
&= \frac{1}{[\Gamma'_{p^2}/\pm I : \Gamma(l)]} \cdot \frac{n^3}{8640}[\Gamma(1) : \Gamma(l)] \\
&= \frac{n^3}{8640}[\Gamma(1) : \Gamma'_{p^2}/\pm I] \\
&= \frac{n^3}{8640} \deg(\pi : \mathcal{A}_2(p) \to \mathcal{A}_2) \\
&= \frac{p^2(p^2+1)}{8640} n^3
\end{aligned}$$

by [O'G, Lemma 2.1]. ■

3. Pluricanonical forms and extension to the boundary

Choose a cusp form f_2 of weight 2 for Γ_{p^2}, $p \geq 11$; we can do this in view of Proposition 2.1. Suppose f_n is a modular form for Γ_{p^2} of weight n: then $\Phi = f_n f_2^n$ is a cusp form of weight $3n$. Let $\omega = d\tau_1 \wedge d\tau_2 \wedge d\tau_3$ be the standard 3-form on $\mathbf{H}_2$. The form $\Phi\omega^{\otimes n}$ is invariant under Γ_{p^2} and therefore descends to give a pluricanonical form on $\mathcal{A}_2(p)$ except at the branch locus of $\mathbf{H}_2 \to \mathcal{A}_2(p)$. If Φ were a general element of $\mathcal{M}_{3n}^*(\Gamma_{p^2})$ we should expect this form to have logarithmic poles at the boundary $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p) \setminus \mathcal{A}_2(p)$, but because the cusp form we have chosen is special these poles do not occur. That is because Φ vanishes to high order (at least order n) at the cusps.

Proposition 3.1. *The differential $3n$-form coming from $\Phi\omega^{\otimes n}$ extends over the generic point of each codimension 1 boundary component of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$.*

Proof: According to [SC, Chapter IV, Theorem 1] (see also [HS, Proposition 1.1]), we need to check that in the Fourier-Jacobi expansion

$$\Phi(Z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \theta^D_{m,\Phi}(u_D, t_D) \exp\{2\pi i m z_D\}$$

near the boundary component D, the coefficients $\theta^D_{m,\Phi}$ vanish for $m < n$. But we can write the expansion of $\Phi(Z)$ as a product of expansions of $f_2(Z)$ and $f_n(Z)$: we have

$$f_2(Z) = \sum_{m > 0} \theta^D_{m,f_2}(u_D, t_D) \exp\{2\pi i m z_D\}$$

(with $\theta^D_{m,f_2}(u_D, t_D) \equiv 0$ as f_2 is a cusp form), and similarly for f_n. Hence

$$\theta^D_{m,\Phi} = \sum_{m_0 + \cdots + m_n = m} \theta^D_{m_0,f_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^D_{m_i,f_2}$$

which is zero if $m < n$ as then $m_i = 0$ for some $i \geq 1$. ■

$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ is smooth in codimension 1, but the quotient map $\mathbf{H}_2 \to \mathcal{A}_2(p)$ is branched along two divisors (Humbert surfaces). These are the divisors whose closures in $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ are denoted $\widetilde{\Delta}_1$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_2$ in [O'G]. At a point of $\mathbf{H}_2$ lying over a general point of $\widetilde{\Delta}_1$ or $\widetilde{\Delta}_2$ the isotropy group in Γ_{p^2} is $\mathbf{Z}/2$ and it acts by a reflection, so $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ is smooth at a general point of $\widetilde{\Delta}_1$ or $\widetilde{\Delta}_2$.

Corollary 3.2. *If n is sufficiently divisible then $n(K_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)} + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\Delta}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\Delta}_2)$ is a bundle and if $\Phi = f_n f_2^n$ is a cusp form of the type described above then $\Phi\omega^{\otimes n}$ determines an element of $H^0\big(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p); n(K_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)} + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\Delta}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\Delta}_2)\big)$*

Proof: $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ has only quotient singularities, which are, in particular, $\mathbf{Q}$-Gorenstein. From the description of the action of Γ_{p^2} above a general point of $\widetilde{\Delta}_1$ or $\widetilde{\Delta}_2$ it is clear that $\Phi\omega^{\otimes n}$ acquires poles of order $\frac{1}{2}n$ along $\widetilde{\Delta}_1$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_2$.

4. Obstructions from elliptic fixed points.

We take a canonical partial resolution $\varphi : \hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p) \to \bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$, as in [O'G], adopting also the notations of [O'G, Definition 3.8] for (Weil) divisors on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$.

Proposition 4.1. *If n is sufficiently divisible then $\Phi\omega^{\otimes n}$ determines an element of*

$$H^0\big(\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p); n(K_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)} + \frac{1}{2}E_1' + \frac{1}{2}E_1'' + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Delta}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Delta}_2 + (1-\frac{2}{p})E_2 - \frac{1}{4}E' - \frac{1}{4}E'')\big).$$

Proof: By Corollary 3.2., above, we have a section of $\varphi^*\big(n(K_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)} + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\Delta}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\Delta}_2)\big)$, and the formulae for $\varphi^*K_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}$, $\varphi^*\widetilde{\Delta}_1$ and $\varphi^*\widetilde{\Delta}_2$ given in [O'G] provide the required expression. ■

We assume henceforth that n is sufficiently divisible, so that everything we have written so far is a bundle (in fact it is enough that $24p|n$). For the rest of this section we assume that $p \geq 5$, as in [O'G], but we shall need $p \geq 11$ in the end in order to apply Proposition 2.1.

Put $\mathcal{E} = K_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)} + \frac{1}{2}E_1' + \frac{1}{2}E_1'' + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Delta}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Delta}_2 + (1-\frac{2}{p})E_2 - \frac{1}{4}E' - \frac{1}{4}E''$. We want to make use of O'Grady's calculations (and to avoid either resolving the singularities of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ or using adjunction and Riemann-Roch on singular varieties), so we express $\mathcal{E}$ in terms of the pullback of the Hodge bundle λ on $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$ via the map $\pi\varphi : \hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$.

Lemma 4.2. *In* $\operatorname{Pic}\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ *we have*

$$\mathcal{E} = 3\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda) - \frac{1}{p}\varphi^*\pi^*(\Delta_1) - \frac{p-1}{p}\hat{\Delta}_0 - \frac{p-1}{p}\hat{\hat{\Delta}}_0. \qquad (\star)$$

Proof: See [O'G, Theorem 3.1]. ■

We have

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(nK_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}) &\geq h^0(nK_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)} - \frac{n}{4}E' - \frac{n}{4}E'') \\ &= h^0\big(n\mathcal{E} - \frac{n}{2}E_1' - \frac{n}{2}E_1'' - \frac{n}{2}\hat{\Delta}_1 - \frac{n}{2}\hat{\Delta}_2 - n(1-\frac{2}{p})E_2\big) \end{aligned}$$

so we want to estimate the five obstructions coming from E_1', E_1'', $\hat{\Delta}_1$, $\hat{\Delta}_2$ and E_2. Our $\mathcal{E}$ plays the rôle of $\varphi^*\pi^*(\alpha_p\lambda)$ in [O'G]: by comparison, we have replaced $\alpha_p = 3 - \frac{10}{p}$ by 3, which makes some of the obstructing sheaves more positive, but we also have vanishing to order $\frac{p-1}{p}$ along the boundary components $\hat{\Delta}_0$ and $\hat{\hat{\Delta}}_0$, which makes them more negative. So much more negative, in fact, that we have the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *All the obstructions vanish: that is, every section of $n\mathcal{E}$ gives a section of $nK_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}$ if $p \geq 5$ and n is sufficiently divisible.*

Proof: We prove this in five steps, taking each obstruction separately. Only E_1' and E_1'' require much attention.

1. The obstruction from E_1'.

We need (cf. [O'G], p. 146) to estimate $h^0\big([n\mathcal{E} - iE_1']\big|_{E_1'}\big)$ for $0 \le i \le \frac{n}{2} - 1$. Using $(\star)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} h^0\big([n\mathcal{E} - iE_1']\big|_{E_1'}\big) &= h^0\Big(3n\big[\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)\big]\big|_{E_1'} - \frac{n}{p}\big[\varphi^*\pi^*(\Delta_1)\big]\big|_{E_1'} - n\frac{p-1}{p}\hat{\Delta}_0\big|_{E_1'} - n\frac{p-1}{p}\hat{\hat{\Delta}}_0\big|_{E_1'} - iE_1'\big|_{E_1'}\Big) \\ &\le h^0\Big(3n\big[\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)\big]\big|_{E_1'} - n\frac{p-1}{p}\hat{\Delta}_0\big|_{E_1'} - iE_1'\big|_{E_1'}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing α_p by 3 in [O'G], Corollary 4.1 et seq., gives

$$3n\big[\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)\big]\big|_{E_1'} - iE_1'\big|_{E_1'} = 3i\Sigma + \big(\frac{n}{4} + 4i\big)L - 3iG.$$

As a set, $\hat{\Delta}_0 \cap E_1'$ consists of the fibres of $\varphi_2 : E_1 \to \widetilde{\Gamma}$ over the points where $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ meets the boundary. These fibres are smooth, so $\hat{\Delta}_0\big|_{E_1'}$ is a positive integer multiple of the general fibre L. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} h^0\Big(3n\big[\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)\big]\big|_{E_1'} - n\frac{p-1}{p}\hat{\Delta}_0\big|_{E_1'} - iE_1'\big|_{E_1'}\Big) &\le h^0\big(3i\Sigma + (\frac{n}{4} + 4i - n\frac{p-1}{p})L - 3iG\big) \\ &\le h^0\big(3i\Sigma + \big((\frac{1}{p} - \frac{3}{4})n + 4i\big)L\big). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma \cdot \big(3i\Sigma + \big((\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4})n + 4i\big)L\big) &= (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{3}{4})n + i \\ &\le (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4})n - 1 \end{aligned}$$

which is negative if $p \ge 5$, so there are no sections and no obstructions.

2. The obstruction from E_1''.

The calculation here is very similar: this time it is $\hat{\hat{\Delta}}_0$ that plays a part. We need to estimate $h^0\big([n\mathcal{E} - \frac{n}{2}E_1' - iE_1'']\big|_{E_1''}\big)$ for $0 \le i \le \frac{n}{2} - 1$, and $(\star)$ and [O'G, Corollary 4.2] together give

$$\begin{aligned} h^0\big([n\mathcal{E} - \frac{n}{2}E_1' - iE_1'']\big|_{E_1''}\big) &\le h^0\Big(3n\big[\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)\big]\big|_{E_1''} - \frac{n}{2}E_1'\big|_{E_1''} - n\frac{p-1}{p}\hat{\hat{\Delta}}_0\big|_{E_1''} - iE_1''\big|_{E_1''}\Big) \\ &\le h^0\Big(3i\Sigma + (4i - \frac{n}{4})F - n\frac{p-1}{p}\hat{\hat{\Delta}}_0\big|_{E_1''}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

(note that Lemma 4.7.(i) of [O'G] should read $\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)\big|_{E_1''} \cong \frac{1}{12}F$). As above, $\hat{\hat{\Delta}}_0\big|_{E_1''}$ is a positive integer multiple of F, so the obstruction becomes $h^0\big(3i\Sigma + \big(4i + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{5}{4})n\big)F\big)$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma \cdot \big(3i\Sigma + \big(4i + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{5}{4})n\big)F\big) &= i + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{5}{4})n \\ &\le (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{3}{4})n - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is negative for all p, so again there are no sections and no obstructions.

3. The obstruction from $\hat{\Delta}_1$.

All we have to do is replace α_p by 3 in [O'G, Corollary 4.4]. The conclusion (Theorem 4.3 in [O'G]: the coefficient of $L' + L''$ should be $\frac{\alpha_p}{n} + i$) that the obstruction vanishes is unaltered.

4. The obstruction from $\hat{\Delta}_2$.

Again changing α_p to 3 makes no difference: we simply get

$$\begin{aligned} h^0\big(\big[n\mathcal{E} - \frac{n}{2}(E_1' + E_1'' + \hat{\Delta}_1)\big]\big|_{\hat{\Delta}_2}\big) \\ &\leq h^0\Big(3n\big[\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)\big]\big|_{\hat{\Delta}_2} - \frac{n}{2}(E_1' + E_1'' + \hat{\Delta}_1)\big|_{\hat{\Delta}_2} - n\frac{p-1}{p}\hat{\Delta}_0 + \hat{\hat{\Delta}}_0\big|_{\hat{\Delta}_2} - i\hat{\Delta}_2\big|_{\hat{\Delta}_2}\Big) \\ &= 0 \qquad \text{for all } i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

5. The obstruction from E_2.

This time the restriction of $\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)$ is trivial, so α_p does not even appear in the calculation. ■

Theorem 4.4. *$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ is of general type if $p \geq 11$.*

Proof: This follows from Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.2. amd Theorem 4.3. ■

In fact we have shown that $h^0(nK_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}) \geq \frac{p^2(p^2+1)}{8640}n^3 + \mathrm{O}(n^2)$ if $p \geq 11$. We do not really need the precise value of the leading coefficient (unless we really want an asymptotic bound on the plurigenera, but O'Grady's bound is better unless $p = 11$ or $p = 13$), because there are no obstructions to compare it with. Instead, we have an explicit pluricanonical form.

Corollary 4.5. *If $p \geq 11$ and f_n is any modular form of weight n for Γ_{p^2}, then $f_n f_2^n \omega^{\otimes n}$ gives an n-canonical form on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$.*

References

[SC] A. Ash, D. Mumford, M. Rapoport & Y. Tai, *Smooth Compactification of Locally Symmetric Varieties*, Math. Sci. Press, Brookline, Mass., 1975.

[G] V. Gritsenko, Irrationality of the moduli space of polarized abelian surfaces, Bonn preprint MPI n-26/1994.

[GH] V. Gritsenko & K. Hulek, Appendix to the paper "Irrationality of the moduli space of polarized abelian surfaces", Hannover preprint, 1994.

[HS] K. Hulek & G. K. Sankaran, The Kodaira dimension of certain moduli spaces of abelian surfaces, Compositio Math. **90** (1994), 1–36.

[O'G] K. O'Grady, On the Kodaira dimension of moduli spaces of abelian surfaces, Compositio Math. **72** (1989), 121–163.

[T] Y. Tai, On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli spaces of abelian varieties, Inv. Math. **68** (1982), 425–439.

V. A. Gritsenko, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26, D–53225 Bonn, Germany.

G. K. Sankaran, Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, 16, Mill Lane, Cambridge CB2 1SB, England.